Blooming plant species diversity patterns in two adjacent Costa Rican highland ecosystems

E. Jacob Cristobal-Perez ^{Corresp., 1, 2, 3}, Gilbert Barrantes ^{1, 3, 4}, Alfredo Cascante-Marín ^{1, 3, 4}, Ruth Madrigal-Brenes ^{1, 3, 4}, Paul Hanson ^{1, 4}, Eric J. Fuchs ^{1, 2, 3, 4}

¹ Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ecología Tropical, Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica

² Laboratorio Nacional de Análisis y Síntesis Ecológica/ Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores Unidad Morelia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

³ Laboratorio Binacional de Análisis y Síntesis Ecológica UNAM-UCR, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Universidad de Costa Rica, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

⁴ Escuela de Biologia, Universidad de Costa Rica, San Jose, Costa Rica

Corresponding Author: E. Jacob Cristobal-Perez Email address: ejacob@cieco.unam.mx

The Costa Rican Paramo is a unique ecosystem with high levels of endemism that is geographically isolated from the Andean Paramos. Paramo ecosystems occur above Montane Forests, below the permanent snow level, and their vegetation differs notably from that of adjacent Montane Forests. We compared the composition and beta diversity of blooming plant species using phenological data from functional plant groups (i.e., insectvisited, bird-visited and insect+bird-visited plants) between a Paramo and a Montane Forest site in Costa Rica and analyzed seasonal changes in blooming plant diversity between the rainy and dry seasons. Species richness was higher in the Montane Forest for all plant categories, except for insect-visited plants, which was higher in the Paramo. Beta diversity and blooming plant composition differed between both ecosystems and seasons. Differences in species richness and beta diversity between Paramo and the adjacent Montane Forest are likely the result of dispersal events that occurred during the last glacial period and subsequent isolation, as climate turned to tropical conditions after the Pleistocene, and to stressful abiotic conditions in the Paramo ecosystem that limit species establishment. Differences in blooming plant composition between both ecosystems and seasons are likely attributed to differential effects of climatic cues triggering the flowering events in each ecosystem, but phylogenetic conservatism cannot be discarded. Analyses of species composition and richness based on flowering phenology data are useful to evaluate potential floral resources for floral visitors (insects and birds) and how these resources change spatially and temporarily in endangered ecosystems such as the Paramo.

Manuscript to be reviewed

1	Blooming plant species diversity patterns in two adjacent Costa Rican highland ecosystems					
2	E. Jacob Cristóbal-Perez ^{1,3,4*} , Gilbert Barrantes ^{1,2,4} , Alfredo Cascante-Marín ^{1,2,4} , Ruth					
3	Madrigal-Brenes ^{1,2,4} , Paul Hanson ^{1,2} and Eric J. Fuchs ^{1,2,4}					
4						
5	¹ Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ecología Tropical, Universidad de Costa Rica, San					
6	José, 11501-2060, Costa Rica					
7	² Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, 11501-2060, Costa Rica					
8	³ Laboratorio Nacional de Análisis y Síntesis Ecológica, Escuela Nacional de Estudios					
9	Superiores Unidad Morelia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia, Michoacán,					
10	México					
11	⁴ Laboratorio Binacional de Análisis y Síntesis Ecológica, UNAM-UCR, México-Costa Rica.					
12	*Email: ejacob@cieco.unam.mx					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

Manuscript to be reviewed

23 ABSTRACT

24 The Costa Rican Paramo is a unique ecosystem with high levels of endemism that is 25 geographically isolated from the Andean Paramos. Paramo ecosystems occur above Montane 26 Forests, below the permanent snow level, and their vegetation differs notably from that of 27 adjacent Montane Forests. We compared the composition and beta diversity of blooming plant 28 species using phenological data from functional plant groups (i.e., insect-visited, bird-visited and 29 insect+bird-visited plants) between a Paramo and a Montane Forest site in Costa Rica and 30 analyzed seasonal changes in blooming plant diversity between the rainy and dry seasons. 31 Species richness was higher in the Montane Forest for all plant categories, except for insect-32 visited plants, which was higher in the Paramo. Beta diversity and blooming plant composition 33 differed between both ecosystems and seasons. Differences in species richness and beta diversity 34 between Paramo and the adjacent Montane Forest are likely the result of dispersal events that 35 occurred during the last glacial period and subsequent isolation, as climate turned to tropical 36 conditions after the Pleistocene, and to stressful abiotic conditions in the Paramo ecosystem that 37 limit species establishment. Differences in blooming plant composition between both ecosystems 38 and seasons are likely attributed to differential effects of climatic cues triggering the flowering 39 events in each ecosystem, but phylogenetic conservatism cannot be discarded. Analyses of 40 species composition and richness based on flowering phenology data are useful to evaluate 41 potential floral resources for floral visitors (insects and birds) and how these resources change 42 spatially and temporarily in endangered ecosystems such as the Paramo.

44

43

45 KEYWORDS: beta diversity, endemism, floral syndromes, Paramo, plant species composition,
46 Montane Forest.

47

48 **1. INTRODUCTION**

49 A notable characteristic of tropical highland landscapes is the presence of well defined 50 ecotones between adjacent ecosystems at high elevations (Vuilleumier & Simberloff, 1980; 51 Sarmiento, 2021). This sudden change in the vegetation physiognomy is attributed mainly to 52 differences in climatic and edaphic conditions (Luteyn, 2005). The highest mountain 53 environments above the treeline are unsuitable habitats for most organisms that inhabit adjacent 54 tropical forests at lower elevations (Luteyn, 2005; Körner, 2021). It has been suggested that 55 changes in the composition of plant communities along altitudinal gradients may be determined 56 by environmental filtering, since increasing altitudes are often associated with harsh conditions 57 for life (Laiolo & Obeso, 2017). Hence, only a relatively low number of species have been 58 capable of adapting to the prevailing abiotic conditions at high altitudes, resulting in a general 59 decline in species richness but an increase in endemism (Billings, 1974; Rada et al., 2019; 60 Madriñán et al., 2013). In the Neotropics, the Paramo exemplifies a high elevation ecosystem; 61 this habitat is typically composed of low herbaceous and shrubby vegetation whose 62 physiognomy drastically contrasts with the arboreal vegetation that dominates the adjacent 63 Montane Forests (Smith & Young, 1987; Luteyn, 2005). Most of the neotropical Paramos (including the Puna) are found in South America and 64 65 cover a large proportion of the highlands of the Andes mountain range (Madriñán et al., 2013).

66 In Central America, the Paramo vegetation is restricted to highly isolated and small natural

67 fragments on the highlands of the Talamanca mountain range that extends from Costa Rica to

Manuscript to be reviewed

68 western Panama (Kappelle & Horn, 2016). As a result, South American Paramos have been the 69 focus of research on a variety of topics, including plant physiology (Rada et al., 2019), avian 70 evolution (Vuilleumier, 1969), vegetation (Valencia et al., 2018) and butterfly distribution 71 (Pyrcz et al., 2016); whereas research in Central American Paramo ecosystem is still limited 72 (Körner, 2021). A book published by Kappelle and Horn (2005) included information on the 73 natural history of many taxa from the Costa Rican Paramo, but information on the ecology and 74 evolution of most taxonomic groups was anecdotal or based on non-systematic samplings. 75 The species diversity turnover of plants and other taxonomic groups along altitudinal 76 gradients has been studied worldwide and, in general, richness in all groups decreases with 77 elevation, but endemism increases (Wolda, 1987; Navarro, 1992; Lieberman et al., 1996; Vetaas 78 & Grytnes 2002; Khuroo et al., 2011; Steinbauer et al., 2016; Monro et al., 2017). There are also 79 changes in abiotic conditions such as a reduction in availability of surface area, atmospheric 80 pressure, air temperature, and increasing UV radiation at higher elevations (Körner, 2007). For 81 sessile organisms such as plants, these environmental gradients impose severe constraints on 82 growth, survival, flowering and fruiting phenology, which may influence the feeding behavior 83 and reproduction of associated organisms such as insects and birds. Tropical highland 84 ecosystems are also characterized by a marked seasonal variation in rainfall and daily 85 temperatures between the dry and rainy seasons (Sarmiento, 1986). Seasonality is a proximal 86 factor that can regulate plant phenology (Borchert 1983; Reich & Borchert, 1982, 1984; Cavelier 87 et al., 1992; Smith & Young, 1987), and therefore may constrain floral resource availability for 88 floral visitors.

In the Costa Rican highland ecosystems, plant richness also declines rapidly with
elevation, particularly at mountain summits (Lieberman et al., 1996; Estrada & Zamora 2004;

Manuscript to be reviewed

91 Barrantes et al., 2019; Monro et al., 2017). However, information on the dynamics of floral 92 resources availability (i.e., flowering phenology patterns) at the community level remains 93 undocumented. Patterns of plant reproductive phenology may be related to the variation in floral 94 resource availability and changes in the community composition of floral visitors throughout the 95 year. 96 Flowering plants may be classified into different pollination syndromes based on a set of 97 floral traits (e.g., morphology, color, odor, size, rewards, and anthesis time) (Faegri & Van der 98 Pijl, 1979; Rosas-Guerrero et al., 2014). Most plant species inhabiting highland tropical 99 ecosystems can be classified into insect-pollinated (bees and flies), bird-pollinated and 100 insect+bird-pollinated pollination syndromes. Evidence suggests that as elevation 101 increases, flower-visitor diversity, population abundance, and foraging activity decreases 102 (Arroyo et al., 1981; Gómez-Murillo & Cuartas-Hernández, 2016). However, there is no 103 information on the availability of floral resources in relation to the type of floral visitors in Central American highland ecosystems. 104 105 106 This study has a twofold objective: to determine differences in floral resources 107 availability in terms of blooming plant composition and diversity between the two high-elevation 108 ecosystems in Costa Rica (Paramo and Montane Forest), and to describe their variation in 109 resource availability for insects and birds between the dry and rainy seasons. We predict 110 significant differences in community composition between the Paramo and the adjacent Montane 111 Forest, with higher species richness and beta-diversity of blooming plants in Montane Forests,

112 due to the large number of endemic species present in the Paramo and the reduction in species

113 richness as elevation increases. We also predict a higher diversity of the blooming plant

114	community in the rainy season, in both ecosystems, due to milder temperatures and higher water
115	availability compared to more severe conditions prevalent during the dry season.

116

117 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

118 2.1 Study area

119 We selected two study sites in the highlands of the Costa Rican Talamanca mountain 120 range: the Cerro de la Muerte Biological Station (CMBS) and the Quetzales National Park (QNP) (Fig. 1). The CMBS is a Montane Forest at an elevation of 3100 m asl (09° 33' N; 83° 121 122 44' W) and the QNP is a Paramo habitat at 3400 m asl (Fig. 1). The two sites are separated by 2 123 km. The region's average annual precipitation is 2500 mm, with a relatively dry period from 124 mid-November to April, and a mean annual temperature of 11°C for the CMBS and 7.6°C in the 125 QNP (Herrera, 2005). During the day, temperatures fluctuate dramatically, particularly in the 126 Paramo (-5 °C to 35 °C) (Herrera, 2005). Montane Forests are dominated by oaks with abundant 127 epiphytes and shrubs (e.g., Ericaceae, Asteraceae, Onagraceae) (Calderón-Sanou et al., 2019). 128 Meanwhile, the Paramo is dominated by a herbaceous stratum, with a large diversity of 129 Asteraceae and Poaceae, and scattered patches of shrubs with species mainly in the Ericaceae, 130 Asteraceae, and Hypericaceae (Vargas & Sanchez 2005). 131

132 2.2 Sampling

In each study site, we established a 2 km by 10 m transect and counted the number of individual
blooming plants per species per month, during a 30 month period (February 2019 to August
2021). We classified each plant species into insect-pollinated (bee-pollinated and fly-pollinated),
bird-pollinated (hummingbirds) and insect+bird-pollinated types, based on their morphology and

floral reward following Barrantes (2005) and Rosas-Guerrero et al., (2014). We did not include wind-pollinated species, such as oaks (Fagaceae), grasses and sedges (Poaceae and Cyperaceae, respectively). We defined the flowering peak for the whole community at each site and for each plant category (insect-pollinated plants, insect+bird-pollinated plants, bird-pollinated plants) as the month(s) fitting into the third quartile; if a sequence of months all met this requirement, we chose the month with the highest number of flowering individuals.

143

144 2.3 Statistical Analyses

We compared species richness between the Montane Forest and the Paramo by means of 145 146 rarefaction curves with 95% confidence intervals, using the function *specaccum* in the R package 147 *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2020). This method controls for differences in sample size by estimating 148 the expected species richness of a random subsample of individuals (Gotelli & Graves, 1996). 149 To compare the plant community composition between sites, we used a non-metric 150 multidimensional scaling (NMSD) based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix with 1000 151 permutations. We then conducted a distance-based Permutational Multivariate Analyses of 152 Variance (PERMANOVA) as implemented in the *adonis* function in the R package *vegan* 153 (Oksanen et al., 2020). For this analysis, we included site (Montane Forest and Paramo), season 154 (Dry and rainy seasons), and their interaction as independent factors and the distance matrix as 155 the response variable. 156 Subsequently, we compared beta diversity between the two sites, measured as the mean 157 dissimilarity non-Euclidean distance of each individual observation to the mean of all

158 observations (centroid) calculated in multidimensional space, as implemented by the *betadisper*

159 function (Anderson et al., 2006; Oksanen et al., 2020). This function is used to test the

Manuscript to be reviewed

homogeneity of variances between sites or treatments. However, PERMANOVA is unaffected by the heterogeneity of variances for balanced designs (Anderson and Walsh, 2013), as is the case in this study (equal sampling at both sites). Therefore, we used the *betadisper* function to test for differences in beta-diversity between sites, as has been used in other studies (Oksanen et al., 2020). We used the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2020) in the R statistical language for all analyses (R Development Core Team, 2021).

166

167 **3. RESULTS**

168 We recorded the flowering phenology of 91 species in 41 families: 72 species in the 169 Montane Forest and 65 in the Paramo; 46 of these species were present at both sites. Based on 170 our rarefaction analysis, the species richness of blooming plants was higher in the Montane 171 Forest (Fig. 2). Similarly, the richness of plants pollinated by insects+birds and by birds only 172 was higher in the Montane Forest; however, richness of insect-visited plants was higher in the 173 Paramo site (Fig. S1a-c). This indicates that both ecosystems offer a great diversity of food 174 resources for different pollinator guilds. More resources were available for hummingbirds in the 175 Montane Forest, while insects seem to benefit more from plants in the Paramo ecosystem. 176 The number of blooming plant species varied over time (Fig. 3). All blooming plant species in both ecosystems peaked during the dry season (Fig. 3), but insect-pollinated plants had 177 flowering peaks at the beginning (May) and the second half of the rainy season (September-178 179 October) (Fig. S2a). Insect+bird and bird-pollinated plant categories did not show a clear 180 seasonal pattern (Fig. S2 b-c); on the contrary, floral resources in these two plant categories 181 varied little throughout the year. In the case of bird-pollinated plant species, the number of 182 blooming species was always higher in the Montane Forest than in Paramo (Fig. S2 d).

Manuscript to be reviewed

183 The multidimensional scaling distances showed that species composition differed 184 between sites (Montane Forest and Paramo), seasons (dry and rainy), and their interaction for all 185 plant categories (i.e., all blooming plant species, insect-visited plants, insect+bird-visited plants 186 and bird-visited-plants) (Table 1; Fig. S3; Table S1). In all cases, the site explained the largest 187 fraction of the variance, followed by season, and then their interaction (Table 1), though there is 188 still a large portion of the variance that is not explained by the factors included in the model. 189 This is expected since phenological cues are multifactorial, and their synergistic effect is not yet 190 fully understood (Satake et al., 2022). The changes in species composition between the rainy and 191 dry seasons are more pronounced in the Montane Forest than in the Paramo, for all blooming 192 plant species (Fig. 4). However, this pattern is reversed for bird-pollinated plant species, where 193 species composition differences between the dry and the rainy season are greater in the Paramo 194 compared to the Montane Forest (Fig. S4).

Beta diversity for each plant pollination type differed between the Montane Forest and the Paramo for all categories of blooming plants (Table 2, Fig. 4; Fig. S4 a-d). This suggests that particular factors have shaped each ecosystem, such as climatic conditions and underlying historical factors (e.g. colonization-dispersal events) and influenced the beta diversity of blooming plants differently.

200

201 4. DISCUSSION

Our results show differences in species composition and diversity of plants between two adjacent ecosystems at tropical high elevations. Local and regional environmental traits, and historical events likely act synergistically to produce the differences observed (Simpson, 1975; Hooghiemstra et al., 1992; Islebe et al., 1995, 1996; Sklenář et al., 2011). In comparison to the

227

Manuscript to be reviewed

206 adjacent Montane Forest, the Paramo has a lower richness of flowering species. The study sites 207 are geographically adjacent and separated by 2 km; however, the relatively small change in 208 elevation (~400 m) becomes a determinant factor in shaping species composition differences. 209 Consequently, temporal turnover (beta diversity) of blooming plants also differed between 210 ecosystems and such differences are likely related to the uniqueness of the Costa Rican Paramo 211 vegetation (Cleef & Chaverri, 1992). The evolution of a unique vegetation in the Costa Rican 212 Paramo, which differs notably from the adjacent Montane Forest, could be the result of several factors: a) the plant dispersal events that occurred during the late Pleistocene (Simpson & Neff, 213 214 1992; Sklenář et al., 2011; Londoño et al., 2014), b) the geographic isolation when climate 215 changed after the Pleistocene, and c) the prevalence of cold climatic conditions at the summit of 216 the Talamanca Mountain range. Vicariance driven by the climate shifts after the Pleistocene in 217 conjunction with topographic isolation, has shaped the evolution of several plant clades within 218 the Andean cordilleras (Simpson, 1975; Luebert & Weigend, 2014). For instance, a possible 219 explanation for the rapid radiation of the common *Valeriana* and *Hypericum* species in the 220 Andean Paramo, as well as the species present in the Costa Rican Paramo, is the repeated 221 fragmentation-isolation process, as a consequence of the Pleistocene climatic fluctuations in a 222 topographically complex region (Moore & Donoghue, 2007; Nürk et al., 2014). 223 Temporal variation in floral resources imposes a constraint on plant-pollinator 224 interactions (Hegland & Boeke, 2006; Fuchs et al., 2010; Encinas-Viso et al., 2012; Bagella et 225 al., 2013). Our results showed that the flowering phenology of all groups of plants differed

between dry and rainy seasons. When we analyzed the entire blooming plant community as a

in the dry season, in contrast to insect-visited plants, whose flowering peak occurred in the rainy

whole (i.e., insect-visited, bird-visited, and insect+bird-visited plants) flowering peaks occurred

Manuscript to be reviewed

229 season. Such differences are often associated with the response of different groups of plants to 230 different environmental cues (Arroyo et al., 1981; Defila & Clot, 2005; Davies et al., 2013; 231 Chmura et al., 2018; Satake et al., 2022). In other seasonal ecosystems, it has been suggested that 232 water acquisition and storage strategies associated with growth form are related to different 233 temporal patterns of flowering (Cortés-Flores et al., 2017). For example, flowering of herbaceous 234 species occurs during the rainy season, while flowering in trees and shrubs can occur during both 235 rainy and dry seasons (Frankie et al., 1974; Batalha & Martins, 2004; Cortés-Flores et al., 2017). 236 The flowering phenology patterns that we observed are consistent with the assumption that in 237 seasonal tropical ecosystems, insect pollinators are more abundant during the rainy season, when 238 more floral resources are available (Southwood et al., 1979; Siemann et al., 1998; Ramírez, 239 2006; Souza et al., 2018). At least one hummingbird species is active year-round in our study 240 site, a pattern recognized in other tropical studies, which reported continuous hummingbird 241 activity across the year (Barrantes, 2005; Abrahamczyk et al., 2011). The presence of a particular 242 floral visitor functional group throughout the year can be explained by the staggered flowering 243 phenologies of plant species in tropical communities, as shown in this study (Lopezaraiza-Mikel 244 et al., 2013; Lobo et al., 2003, Abrahamczyk et al., 2011; Meléndez-Ramírez et al., 2016). 245 An important difference between the Costa Rican Paramo and Andean Paramos is that in 246 Costa Rica, this ecosystem covers only a small and isolated area at the summit of the Talamanca 247 mountain range. Such conditions make this site unique and susceptible to threats imposed by 248 climate change and human intervention. Projections on climate change indicate that temperatures 249 and the length of dry season will increase in the highlands, seriously threatening this ecosystem 250 in Central and South America (Karmalkar et al., 2008; Lyra et al., 2017; Freeman et al., 2018). In 251 Costa Rica, the Paramo ecosystem is protected within national parks, but despite this level of

Manuscript to be reviewed

protection, they are subject to a wide range of pressures from human activities, such as
anthropogenic fires, the construction of communication towers, and agricultural and urban
expansion around protected areas, as well as the invasion of exotic plant species (Chaverri &
Esquivel-Garrote, 2005) which, in addition to climate change, seriously threaten this unique
ecosystem.

5. CONCLUSIONS

258 We conclude that richness and beta diversity of blooming plant species differed between the Paramo and the adjacent Montane Forest, and such differences are likely a consequence of 259 260 historical events (e.g., dispersal promoted by changes in climate), and the edaphic and climatic 261 conditions prevailing in the study region. Floral resource availability differed between the two 262 seasons (dry and rainy), due to differences in climatic conditions (Körner, 2021) that may act as 263 environmental cues that trigger the phenological patterns in different plant species; however, a phylogenetic effect (e.g., related plant species flowering at the same time due to common 264 265 ancestry) cannot be discarded (Davies et al., 2013). Our findings also showed that the 266 composition and diversity of floral resources for insects and birds are lower in the Paramo than 267 in the Montane Forest. This supports the idea that resource depletion may limit the use of the 268 Paramo for nectar-feeding birds and insects (Janzen et al., 1976; Barrantes, 2005; Fuchs et al., 269 2010). This study showed that analyses of species composition and richness based on flowering 270 phenology data are useful in evaluating potential floral resources for floral visitors (insects and 271 birds), and how these resources change spatially and temporarily in these endangered 272 ecosystems.

273

274	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS					
275	We are indebted to the late Federico Valverde for allowing us to conduct this research at the					
276	Cerro de la Muerte Biological Station. We thank the personnel of Los Quetzales National Park					
277	(MINAE) for granting permission for this investigation (SINAC-ACC-D- RES-1410-2020,					
278	SINAC-ACLA-P-D-365-2020, SINAC-ACC-D-re-835-2021), and Zulema Carrillo-Aldape for					
279	assistance in preparing the map. Map data copyrighted OpenStreetMap contributors and					
280	available from https://www.openstreetmap.org/copyright.					
281						
282	REFERENCES					
283	Abrahamczyk S, Kluge J, Gareca Y, Reichle S, Kessler M. 2011. The influence of climatic					
284	seasonality on the diversity of different tropical pollinator groups. PLOS ONE 6(2),					
285	e27115 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027115.					
286	Anderson MJ, Walsh DCI. 2013. PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in the face of					
287	heterogeneous dispersions: What null hypothesis are you testing? Ecological Monographs					
288	83: 557–574 DOI: 10.1890/12-2010.1.					
289	Anderson MJ, Ellingsen KE, McArdle BH. 2006. Multivariate dispersion as a measure of beta					
290	diversity. Ecology Letters 9: 683-693 DOI: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00926.x.					
291	Arroyo MTK, Armesto JJ, Villagran C. 1981. Plant phenological patterns in the high Andean					
292	Cordillera of Central Chile. Journal of Ecology 69: 205–223 DOI: 10.2307/2259826.					
293	Bagella S, Satta A, Floris I, Caria MC, Rossetti I, Podani J. 2013. Effects of plant community					
294	composition and flowering phenology on honeybee foraging in Mediterranean sylvo-					
295	pastoral systems. Applied Vegetation Science 16 (4): 689–697 DOI: 10.1111/avsc.12023.					

- 296 Barrantes G. 2005. Historia natural de las aves del páramo costarricense. In Kappelle M, Horn
- 297 S, eds. Páramos de Costa Rica. San José Costa Rica: Editorial INBio, 521-532.
- 298 Barrantes G. 2009. The role of historical and local factors in determining species composition of
- 299 the highland avifauna of Costa Rica and western Panama. Revista Biología Tropical
- 300 57(Suppl. 1): 323–332 DOI: 10.15517/RBT.V57I0.21360.
- 301 Barrantes G, Chacón E, Hanson P. 2019. Costa Rica y su Riqueza Biológica. In Godoy-Cabrera
- C, Ramírez-Albán N, eds. Biodiversidad e inventario de la naturaleza. San José Costa
 Rica: Editorial Universidad Estatal a Distancia, 151-202.
- 304 Batalha MA, Martins FR. 2004. Reproductive phenology of the cerrado plant community in
- 305 Emas National Park (central Brazil). Australian Journal of Botany 52(2): 149-161 DOI:
 306 10.1071/BT03098.
- Billings WD. 1974. Adaptations and origins of Alpine plants. Arctic and Alpine Research 6:
 129-142 DOI: 10.1080/00040851.1974.12003769.
- 309 Borchert R. 1983. Phenology and control of flowering in tropical trees. Biotropica 15: 81-89.
- 310 Calderón-Sanou I, Ríos LD, Cascante-Marín A, Barrantes G, Fuchs EJ. 2019. Lack of negative
- 311 density-dependence regulation in a dominant oak tree from a neotropical highland forest.
- 312 Biotropica 51: 817–825 DOI: 10.1111/btp.12714.
- 313 Cavelier, J., Machado, J. L., Valencia, D., Montoya, J., Laignelet, A., Hurtado, A., Varela A,
- 314 Mejia C. 1992. Leaf demography and growth rates of *Espeletia barclayan* Cuatrec.
- 315 (Compositae), a caulescent rosette in a Colombian paramo. Biotropica. 24:52–63.
- 316 Chaverri A, Esquivel-Garrote O. 2005. Conservación, visitación y manejo del Parque Nacional
- 317 Chirripó, Costa Rica. In Kappelle M, Horn S, eds. Páramos de Costa Rica. San José Costa

318 Rica: Editorial INBio, 669-699.

319	Chmura HE, Kharouba HM, Ashander J, Ehlman SM, Rivest EB, Yang LH. 2018. The
320	mechanisms of phenology: the patterns and processes of phenological shifts. 89: e01337
321	DOI: 10.1002/ecm.1337.
322	Cleef, A. M. and A. Chaverri. 1992. Phytogeography of the páramo flora of Cordillera de
323	Talamanca, Costa Rica. In Balslev H, Luteyn JL, eds. Páramo: An Andean Ecosystem
324	Under Human Influence. London: Academic Press, 45-60.
325	Cortés-Flores J, Hernández-Esquivel KB, González-Rodríguez A, Ibarra-Manríquez G. 2017.
326	Flowering phenology, growth forms, and pollination syndromes in tropical dry forest
327	species: Influence of phylogeny and abiotic factors. American Journal of Botany. 104(1):
328	39–49 DOI: 10.3732/ajb.1600305.
329	Davies TJ, Wolkovich EM, Kraft NJB, Salamin N, Allen JM, Ault TR, Betancourt JL,
330	Bolmgren K, Cleland EE, Cook BI, Crimmins TM, Mazer SJ, McCabe GJ, Pau S, Regetz
331	J, Schwartz MD, Travers SE. 2013. Phylogenetic conservatism in plant phenology. Journal
332	of Ecology 101: 1520–1530 DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12154.
333	Defila C, Clot B. 2005. Phytophenological trends in the Swiss Alps, 1951–2002.
334	Meteorologische Zeitschrift 14: 191–196 DOI: <u>10.1127/0941-2948/2005/0021</u> .
335	Encinas-Viso F, Revilla TA, Etienne RS. 2012. Phenology drives mutualistic network structure
336	and diversity. Ecology Letters 15(5): 198-208 DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.12139.
337	Estrada A, Zamora N. 2004. Riqueza, cambios y patrones florísticos en un gradiente altitudinal
338	en la cuenca hidrográfica del río Savegre, Costa Rica. Brenesia 61: 1-52.
339	Faegri K, van der Pijl L. 1979. Principles of Pollination Ecology. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

340	Frankie GW, Baker HG, Opler PA. 1974. Comparative phenological studies of trees in tropical
341	wet and dry forests in the lowlands of Costa Rica. Journal of Ecology 62(3): 881-919 DOI:
342	10.2307/2258961.
343	Freeman BG, Scholer MN, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Fitzpatrick JW. 2018. Climate change causes
344	upslope shifts and mountaintop extirpation in a tropical bird community. Proceedings of
345	the National Academy of Sciences 115: 11982–11987 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1804224115.
346	Fuchs EJ, Ross-Ibarra J, Barrantes G. 2010. Reproductive biology of Macleania rupestris
347	(Ericaceae) a pollen-limited Neotropical cloud-forest species in Costa Rica. Journal of
348	Tropical Ecology 26: 351–354 DOI: 10.1017/S0266467410000064.
349	Gotelli NJ, Graves GR. 1996. Null models in ecology. Washington DC: Smithsonian Institution
350	Press.
351	Gómez-Murillo L, Cuartas-Hernández SE. 2016. Patterns of diversity of flower-visitor
352	assemblages to the understory Araceae in a tropical mountain forest in Colombia. Journal
353	of Insect Conservation 20(6): 1069-1085 DOI:10.1007/s10841-016-9945-z.
354	Hegland SJ, Boeke L. 2006. Relationships between the density and diversity of floral resources
355	and flower visitor activity in a temperate grassland community. Ecological Entomology
356	31(5): 532–538 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.2006.00812.x.
357	Herrera W. 2005. El clima de los páramos de Costa Rica. In Kappele M, Horn S, eds. Páramos
358	de Costa Rica. San José Costa Rica: Editorial INBio, 113-128.
359	Hooghiemstra H, Cleef AM, Noldus G, Kappelle M. 1992. Upper Quaternary vegetation
360	dynamics and palaeoclimatology of the La Chonta bog area (Cordillera de Talamanca,
361	Costa Rica). Journal of Quaternary Science 7: 205-225 DOI: 10.1002/jqs.3390070303.

362	Islebe GA, Hooghiemstra H, Van der Borg G. 1995. A cooling event during the younger Dryas
363	Chron in Costa Rica. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Paleoecology 117: 73-80
364	DOI:10.1016/0031-0182(95)00124-5.
365	Islebe GA, Hooghiemstra H, van't Veer R. 1996. Holocene vegetation and water level history in
366	two bogs of the Cordillera de Talamanca, Costa Rica. Vegetation 124: 155-171 DOI:
367	10.1007/BF00045491.
368	Janzen DH, Ataroff M, Fariñas M, Reyes S, Rincon N, Soler A, Soriano P, Vera M. 1976.
369	Changes in the arthropod community along an elevational transect in the Venezuelan
370	Andes. Biotropica 8: 193–203 DOI: 10.2307/2989685.
371	Karmalkar AV, Bradley RS, Diaz HF. 2008. Climate change scenario for Costa Rican montane
372	forests. Geophysical Research Letters 35: L11702 DOI: 10.1029/2008GL033940.
373	Kappelle M, Horn SP. 2016. The Paramo ecosystem of Costa Rica's highlands. In Kappelle M,
374	ed. Costa Rican Ecosystems. Chicago Illinois: The University of Chicago Press, 492-523.
375	Kapelle M, Horn SP. 2005. Páramos de Costa Rica. San José Costa Rica: Editorial INBio.
376	Khuroo AA, Weber E, Malik AH, Reshi ZA, Dar GH. 2011. Altitudinal distribution patterns of
377	the native and alien woody flora in Kashmir Himalaya, India. Environmental research.
378	111(7): 967-977 DOI: 10.1016/j.envres.2011.05.006.
379	Körner C. 2007. The use of 'altitude' in ecological research. Trends in Ecology and Evolution.
380	22(11): 569-574 DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2007.09.006.
381	Körner C. 2021. Alpine Plant Life. Functional Plant Ecology of High Mountain Ecosystems.
382	Berlin: Springer Verlag DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-59538-8.

383	Laiolo P, Obeso JR. 2017. Life-history responses to the altitudinal gradient. In Catalan J, Ninot J,					
384	Aniz M, eds. High mountain conservation in a changing world. Springer, Cham, 253-283					
385	DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-55982-7_11.					
386	Lieberman D, Lieberman M, Peralta R, Hartshorn GS. 1996. Tropical forest structure and					
387	composition on a large-scale altitudinal gradient in Costa Rica. Journal of Ecology 137-152					
388	DOI: 10.2307/2261350.					
389	Lobo JA, Quesada M, Stoner KE, Fuchs EJ, Herrerías-Diego Y, Rojas J, Saborío G. 2003.					
390	Factors affecting phenological patterns of bombacaceous trees in seasonal forests in Costa					
391	Rica and Mexico. American Journal of Botany, 90(7): 1054–1063 DOI:					
392	10.3732/ajb.90.7.1054.					
393	Londoño C, Cleef A, Madriñán S. 2014. Angiosperm flora and biogeography of the páramo					
394	region of Colombia, northern Andes. Flora 209: 81-87 DOI: 10.1016/j.flora.2013.11.006					
395	Lopezaraiza-Mikel M, Quesada M, Álvarez-Añorve M, Ávila-Cabadilla LD, Martén-Rodríguez					
396	S, Calvo-Alvarado J., et al. 2013. Phenological pattern of tropical dry forest along					
397	latitudinal and successional gradient in the neotropics. In Sanchez-Azofeifa GA, Powers					
398	JS, Fernandes GW, Quesada M, eds. Tropical dry forest in the Americas: ecology,					
399	conservation, and management. Florida: CRC Press Taylor and Francis Group, 101–128.					
400	Luebert F, Weigend M. 2014. Phylogenetic insights into Andean plant diversification. Frontiers					
401	in Ecology and Evolution 2: 1 DOI: 10.3389/fevo.2014.00027.					
402	Luteyn JL. 2005. Introducción al ecosistema de páramo. In Kappele M, Horn S. Páramos de					
403	Costa Rica. San Jose Costa Rica: Editorial INBio, 37-99.					

404	Lyra A, Imbach P, Rodriguez D, Chou SC, Georgiou S, Garofolo L. 2017. Projections of
405	climate change impacts on Central America tropical rainforest. Climate Change 141: 93-
406	105 DOI: 10.1007/s10584-016-1790-2.
407	Madriñán S, Cortés AJ, Richardson JE. 2013. Páramo is the world's fastest evolving and coolest
408	biodiversity hotspot. Frontiers in Genetics 4: 192 DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2013.00192
409	Meléndez-Ramírez VM, Ayala R, González HD. 2016. Temporal Variation in Native Bee
410	Diversity in the Tropical Sub-Deciduous Forest of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico.
411	Tropical Conservation Science, 9, 718–734 DOI: 10.1177/194008291600900210
412	Monro AK, Bystriakova N, Gonzalez F. 2017. Are landscape attributes a useful shortcut for
413	classifying vegetation in the tropics? A case study of La Amistad International Park.
414	Biotropica, 49(6), 848-855 DOI: 10.1111/btp.12470.
415	Moore BR, Donoghue MJ. 2007. Correlates of diversification in the plant clade Dipsacales:
416	geographic movement and evolutionary innovations. American Naturalist 170: S28-S55
417	DOI: 10.1086/519460.
418	Navarro SAG. 1992. Altitudinal distribution of birds in the Sierra Madre del Sur, Guerrero,
419	Mexico. The Condor 94(1): 29-39 DOI: 10.2307/1368793.
420	Nürk NM, Scheriau C, Madriñán S. 2013. Explosive radiation in high Andean Hypericum-rates
421	of diversification among New World lineages. Frontiers in Genetics 175 DOI:
422	10.3389/fgene.2013.00175.
423	Oksanen J, Blanchet GF, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos
424	P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2020. vegan: Community Ecology Package, R package
425	version 2.5-6. URL: http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan

in the northern Colombian Andes: new evidence from ra: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, Satyrini), Zootaxa 4179:					
ra: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, Satyrini), Zootaxa 4179:					
Pronophilina butterflies (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, Satyrini). Zootaxa 4179:					
077–102 DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.4179.1.3					
uage and environment for statistical computing.					
Statistical Computing. URL: http://www.Rproject.org/.					
. Plant functional diversity in tropical Andean páramos.					
539-553 DOI: 10.1080/17550874.2019.1674396.					
pollination classes in a tropical Venezuelan plain: The					
s. Canadian Journal of Botany 84(3) 443-452 DOI:					
nd ecophysiology of the tropical tree, Tabebuia					
logy 63(2): 294-299 DOI:10.2307/1938945.					
and tree phenology in a tropical dry forest in the					
l of Ecology 72(1): 61-74 DOI: 10.2307/2260006.					
dríguez S, Ashworth L, Lopezaraiza-Mikel M, Bastida					
ve review of pollination syndromes: do floral traits					
gy Letters 17: 388–400 DOI: 10.1111/ele.12224.					
eatures of climate in high tropical mountains. In					
High Altitude Tropical Biogeography. Oxford: Oxford					
n treeline ecotones: between cloud forest and páramo					

448 geocritical tropes. Myster AW, ed. The Andean cloud forest. Springer Cham, 25-42.

449	Satake A, Nagahama A, Sasaki E. 2022. A cross-scale approach to unravel the molecular basis					
450	of plant phenology in temperate and tropical climates. New Phytologist 233: 2340-2353					
451	DOI: 10.1111/nph.17897.					
452	Siemann E, Tilman D, Haarstad J, Ritchie M. 1998. Experimental tests of the dependence of					
453	arthropod diversity and plant diversity. American Naturalist 152: 738-750. DOI:					
454	10.1086/286204.					
455	Simpson BB. 1975. Pleistocene changes in the flora of the high tropical Andes. Paleobiology 1:					
456	273–294 DOI: 10.1017/S0094837300002530.					
457	Simpson BB, Neff J. 1992. Plants, their pollinating bees, and the great American interchange. In					
458	Balsev HH, Luteyn JL, eds. Páramo: an Andean ecosystem under human influence.					
459	London: Academic Press, 427-452.					
460	Sklenář P, Dušková E, Balslev H. 2011. Tropical and temperate: evolutionary history of páramo					
461	flora. Botanical Review 77:71-108 DOI: 10.1007/s12229-010-9061-9.					
462	Smith AP Young TP. 1987. Tropical alpine plant ecology. Annual Review of Ecology and					
463	Systematics 18(1): 137-158 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001033.					
464	Southwood TRE, Brown VK, Reader PM. 1979. The relationship of plant and insect diversities					
465	in succession. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 12: 327-348 DOI:					
466	10.1111/j.1095-8312.1979.tb00063.x.					
467	Souza CS, Maruyama PK, Aoki C, Sagrist MR, Raizer J, Gross CL, de Araujo C. 2018.					
468	Temporal variation in plant-pollinator networks from seasonal tropical environments:					
469	Higher specialization when resources are scarce. Journal of Ecology, 106(6): 2409-2420					
470	DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12978.					

471	Steinbauer MJ, Field R, Grytnes JA, Trigas P, Ah-Peng C, Attorre F, Birks HJB, Borges				
472	PAV, Cardoso P, Chou CH, de Sanctis M, de Sequeira MM, Duarte MC, Elias RB,				
473	Fernández-Palacios JM, Gabriel R, R. E. Gereau RE, R. G. Gillespie RG, J. Greimler J,				
474	Harter DEV, Huang TJ, Irl SDH, Jeanmonod D, Jentsch A, Jump AS, Kueffer C, Nogué				
475	S, Otto R, Price J, Romeiras MM, Strasberg D, Stuessy T, Svenning JC, Vetaas OR,				
476	Beierkuhnlein C. 2016. Topography-driven isolation, speciation and a global increase of				
477	endemism with elevation. Global Ecology and Biogeography 25(9): 1097-1107 DOI:				
478	10.1111/geb.12469.				
479	Valencia BG, Bush MB, Coe AL, Orren E, Gosling WD. 2018. Polylepis woodland dynamics				
480	during the last 20,000 years. Journal of Biogeography 45: 1019–1030 DOI:				
481	10.1111/jbi.13209.				
482	Vargas G, Sánchez JJ. 2005. Plantas con flores de los páramos de Costa Rica y Panamá: el				
483	páramo ístmico. In Kappele M, Horn S, eds. Páramos de Costa Rica. San Jose Costa Rica:				
484	Editorial INBio, 397-435.				
485	Vetaas OR, Grytnes JA. 2002. Distribution of vascular plant species richness and endemic				
486	richness along the Himalayan elevation gradient in Nepal. Global Ecology and				
487	Biogeography. 11(4): 291-301 DOI: 10.1046/j.1466-822X.2002.00297.x.				
488	Vuilleumier F. 1969. Pleistocene speciation in birds living in the high Andes. Nature 223: 1179–				
489	1180 DOI: 10.1038/2231179a0.				
490	Vuilleumier F, Simberloff D. 1980. Ecology versus history as determinants of patchy and insular				
491	distributions in high Andean birds. In Hecht MK, W.C. Steere WC, eds. Evolutionary				
492	Biology. New York: Plenum Publishing Corporation, 12: 235-379.				

- 493 Wolda H. 1987. Altitude, habitat and tropical insect diversity. Biological Journal of the Linnean
- 494 Society. 30(4): 313-323.

495

PeerJ

Figure 1

Map of the region of the Costa Rican Talamanca mountain region, showing the study sites and the elevation above sea level (asl): the Cerro de la Muerte Biological Station (CMBS) and the Quetzales National Park (QNP).



Service Layer Credits: © OpenStreetMap (and) contributors, CC-BY-SA

Sentinel-2 (ESA) Copernicus Open Access Hub

Figure 2

Sample-based rarefaction curves with 95% confidence intervals for flowering plant richness in the Montane Forest (green lines) and the Paramo (golden lines) ecosystems from the Costa Rican Talamanca mountain range. Data are from flowering censuses from Fe



PeerJ

Figure 3

Number of blooming plant species in the Montane Forest (green dots) and the Paramo (golden dots) recorded during the study period of February 2019 to August 2021 in the Costa Rican Talamanca mountain range. The solid black lines above the x-axis indicate





Table 1(on next page)

Non-parametric PERMANOVA based on Bray–Curtis distances for all blooming plants at two sites (Montane Forest and the Paramo), two seasons (dry and rainy), and their interaction.

- 1 **Table 1**. Non-parametric PERMANOVA based on Bray–Curtis distances for all blooming plants
- 2 at two sites (Montane Forest and the Paramo), two seasons (dry and rainy), and their interaction.

3

All blooming plants (MSD/Bray – Stress = 0.98)					
Factor	df	SS	R2	F	Р
Site	1	5.03	0.38	48.55	0.001
Season	1	1.46	0.11	14.11	0.001
Site*season	1	0.87	0.06	8.37	0.001
Residual	58	5.99	0.45		
Total	61	13.36	1.00		

4

PeerJ

Figure 4

Effect of site and season (RainyM: Montane Forest - rainy season; DryM: Montane Forest - dry season; RainyP: Paramo - rainy season; DryP: Paramo - dry season) on the beta diversity of blooming plant species, in the Costa Rican Talamanca mountain range.

The analysis was performed using the *betadisper* function in R. Each dot represents the mean non-Euclidean distance of blooming plants at a particular sampling date relative to the centroid of all samplings on the two first PCA components.

PeerJ





Table 2(on next page)

Table 2. Comparison of beta diversity for blooming plants between Montane Forest and Paramo forest in Costa Rica, based on the "*betadisper*" function (Oksanen *et al.,* 2020).

PeerJ

1

- 2 **Table 2.** Comparison of beta diversity for blooming plants between Montane Forest and Paramo
- 3 forest in Costa Rica, based on the "betadisper" function (Oksanen et al., 2020).
- 4

All blooming plants					
Factor	df	SS	MS	F	Р
Site	1	0.03	0.03	9.48	0.003
Residual	60	0.21	0.00		
		Insect+bird-v	visited plants	5	
Site	1	0.03	0.03	9.26	0.002
Residual	60	0.22	0.00		
		Insect-visi	ited plants		
Site	1	0.05	0.05	11.96	0.002
Residual	60	0.27	0.00		
		Bird-visit	ted plants		
Site	1	0.05	0.05	3.83	0.057
Residual	60	0.76	0.01		

5

6